Rocky Gerung Critical Language Analysis in Indonesia Lawyers Club (ILC) at Tv One

Ratih Safitri¹, Wayan Satria Jaya², Supriyono³, Eny Dwi Marcela⁴
^{1,2,3,4}STKIP PGRI Bandar Lampung
*ratihsafitri888@gmail.com

Abstract: This research was conducted for several purposes, namely (1) to find out and describe the critical language form of Rocky Gerung, (2) to know and describe its motives and objectives, (3) to know and describe the critical message conveyed by Rocky Gerung in the Indonesia Lawyers Club on tvOne. Research methods use content analysis. The data and data source of this research is the critical language of Rocky gerung which aired on ILC tvOne. Collection by uploading ILC impressions on youtube specifically on the presence of Rocky Gerung and transcribe conversations into data sheets. The results showed that Rocky Gerung's critical language in the ILC aired on tvOne was generally firm, straightforward, and clear. The firmness of the RG language is because it is directly in the ILC discussion forum entitled 'Testing KPU Neutrality', 'The Face of Our Decomrasiration', and FPI Permit Back and Forth'. The goal is to criticize government policies, regulations, including the KPU and the constitutional court. The message conveyed, namely the government needs to be wise and fair to see and respond to every conflict that arises from the bottom to the top of the political elite so as to create a comprehensive justice.

Keywords: language, critical, Rocky Gerung

INTRODUCTION

Language is a medium of communication and interaction between people in life. Sugihastuti (2012: 8) that language is an effective communication tool between people. Generally, language is used in a language context because it is through that process that the delivery of information or messages can be done. In addition, the process carried out can be in the process of oral communication or written communication. This is the basis on which language serves to convey the speaker's ideas and ideas to the listener or author to the reader and is used for social interaction between people.

The use of language will be very close to a person's language skills. Rosidi (2013: 2) that there are four language skills received by a person in order. These abilities are listening, speaking, reading and writing. Language

skills have two elements, namely logic and linguistic elements, in contrast to thinking skills have only one element, namely logic. Logical elements consist of content, materials, materials, and organization, while linguistic elements consist of diction, word formation, sentence formation, phonology (language sounds) for speech, and spelling for writing. Everyone has the ability to think well, but not everyone has the ability to speak well (Surastina, 2018).

One of the language activities and of course using language as a medium of conveying the message is speaking. The ability to speak or rhetoric is the art of speaking that a person has (Supriyono, 2019). Tarigan (2008: 3) speaking is a language skill that develops in the child's life, which is only preceded by listening skills, and at that time the ability to speak or speak is learned. In the process of conveying ideas, the things that must exist are the speaker himself, either alone or several people, the interlocutor or as a listener as well as a participant, the symbol that is manifested in spoken language, and the main thing is the idea or message, intention, or idea conveyed or that becomes the topic of conversation. Hendrikus (2015: 14) adds that speaking means expressing a word or sentence to a person or group of people, in order to achieve a specific goal (e.g. providing information or motivating).

With regard to speaking activities, there is one television show that until now is still very popular or liked by audiences throughout Indonesia. The event is ILC or *Indonesia Lawyers Club*. The ILC event became a place for all experts in their respective fields, officials, legal practitioners, politicians, education practitioners and academics to convey their ideas and speaking skills to question what is a topic of conversation. *Indonesia Lawyers Club*, formerly jakarta *lawyers club* is a *talk show* broadcast on tvOne. The show features dialogue on legal issues and criminality. The event was also inseparable from the reader of the show, namely Kari Ilyas. The senior journalist is indeed very competent in processing and arranging the course of discussions in the ILC and including MCs who do not want to intervene while carrying out their duties in the ILC.

During the airing on tvOne, there have been many speakers present throughout Indonesia. However, who is currently in the public spotlight and famous for his catchphrase 'Back to Common Sense' is Rocky Gerung. Good at talking, adept at arguing with a variety of questions that are not common for most people, actually presents a new color in every discussion. Such is the figure of Rocky Gerung or often called with RG which is increasingly phenomenal. Words that mean satire, paradoxical tendencies, and various critical-argumentative expressions are often serious debates in almost every dialectical space. This common sense syndrome then has an impact on perlocussion. Many commented not unilaterally with RG, not a few also fell within the realm of the philosopher's common sense. This confusion of

common sense creates a dichotomous climate between the GM and those who are not one-sided on the academic figure. As a person who learns many schools of philosophy, RG certainly understands very well about how to build a solid concept resulting from mature logic. His skill in wording increases the thrust for every reason conveyed. Like an ancient Greek scholar, RG has the characteristics of reason and rationality that are typical of each fruit of his thought. Empirically, it can be concluded that RG fantasizes the concept of common sense, so that the ethical concepts of communicating tend to go unnoticed. The term fool has become a *keyword* for people who he thinks don't think common sense. One of the critical languages of RG:

"The loyalty of teachers is not to the government, but to knowledge. That's the reason."

The first sentence of RG contains a critical message that a teacher's loyalty is not directed to the government or the ruler but to knowledge or totality in educating the life of the nation as stated in the Opening of the 1945 Constitution.

The study in this study concerns rocky gerung's critical language in the tvOne show as stated above. The critical language of RG needs to be of particular concern for analyzing its motives and objectives. Sobur (2012: 48) suggests that discourse analysis is the study of the structure of messages in communication. More precisely, discourse analysis is a study of various functions (pragmatics) of language Surastina. (2019). We use language in continuity or strands of discourse. Without context, without discourse relations that are *interkalimat* and *suprakalimat* then we find it difficult to communicate exactly with each other.

Discourse analysis is born from the realization that the problems contained in communication are not limited to the use of sentences or parts of sentences, the function of speech, but also include a more complex and inherent structure of messages called discourse (Wicaksono, 2017). In speaking, in addition to being systematic, logical, and transparent, RG has taught the public the importance of being critical and objective for the benefit of society and the nation. Logical arguments that are often mixed with philosophical analogies coupled with some examples of witty satire and a little sarcasm, make anyone always enthusiastic to listen to it.

METHOD

This research method will use qualitative descriptive with a content analysis approach. The analysis of the content in question is to explore the meaning of Rocky Gerung's critical language which aired on ILC tvOne. Description with statements in the form of sentences to describe the intentions conveyed in the critical language of RG. Data collection techniques

with video documentation obtained from youtube that aired during 2019. The videos will only focus on Rocky Gerung's statements and be tailored to the topics covered in tvOne's ILC. From the video, it is then pinned down to a sheet containing the dialogue of Rocky Gerung another speaker. After the next descript will be collected rocky gerung languages are critical and interpreted so that the motive and purpose of the language is stated by the RG.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Results

Rocky Gerung's critical language, taken from videos that aired on ILC and published via yotube. Judging from the form of the language appears in the following quotation.

Data (1):

Ok. I think it's true that the official who has a brain he should be able to make a synopsis in 140 letters and must be recorded by 140 million residents. That... If you have a brain. (Source: ILC tvOne in the theme 'Testing KPU Neutrality', 8/1/2019)

Rocky Gerung uses sarcasm language characterized by the phrase 'official who has a brain'. The language is very rude but builds the thinking power of the criticized party, namely officials. Rocky Gerung uses the language back to the RG character himself, which is firm, straightforward, and logical, and critical. Accepted or not, like it or not, these words are indeed constructive. The language was put forward because the officials of this country were already immune, so the smooth or rough language used, RG took it casually but for listeners or readers the language was very extraordinary.

Data (2):

Let the camera look over there, backstage at it, I want to explain a little bit. Try, I want to ask the KPU yes, of the 4 faces, which potential public embarrassment, of the four, which is the potential to humiliate the public?

(Source: ILC tvOne in the theme 'Testing KPU Neutrality', 8/1/2019)

In the data, the language used by RG is firm, straightforward, and communicative. RG hurled critical language related to the spouses of presidential candidates and vice presidential candidates to KPU officials. The critical form of RG language is on the words 'of which four, which is the potential to shame which public'. KPU officials were tested and criticized by the RG in order to be able to show one of the couples who just wanted to shame the public or humiliated by one of the couples for losing the vote. Of course, the KPU was unable to answer and only uttered the diplomatic sentence "The

four are the best sons of the Nation, Bang'. However, RG remained critical in responding to answers from KPU officials with the initials WS.

Data (3):

Well, therefore, this title is actually a bit wrong, Mr. Karni, no longer tests neutrality but tests integrity. Neutrality does not need to be tested because neutrality must have a function. What is tested is integrity. It is integrity that will determine neutrality or not. It wasn't his neutrality that was tested. (Source: ILC tvOne in the theme 'Testing KPU Neutrality', 8/1/2019)

In the data, RG threw critical language at Karni Ilyas because RG considered that the title of the ILC discussion was not correct as stated in the above quotation that what was tested was not neutrality but integrity. The statement was also critical to the KPU represented by one of its officials, namely Wahyu Setiawan (WS).

Data (4):

Therefore, there is nothing about leaking... even in the form of a grid. But you don't want us to call it a leak because leaking means ugly. Half leaking is even uglier. Mending leaked at once. (Source: ILC tvOne in the theme 'Testing KPU Neutrality', 8/1/2019)

On the data, the critical language of RG appears in its statements to KPU officials about leaks and leaks. That is, RG criticized the attitude of the KPU which gave the grid of presidential and vice presidential debad as a form of leakage. RG assessed that giving leaked questions was the same as giving the vice presidential candidate couple the opportunity to memorize questions and answers. In RG's view, it does not provide the credibility of the candidate's paca and only shows stupidity. In fact, RG assesses not only to give leaks or grids, but to give all the dbad material to the presidential candidate.

Discussion

Rocky Gerung's critical language in the ILC that aired on tvOne is generally firm, loud, straightforward, and clear. The firmness of the RG language because it directly stated to the speakers in the ILC discussion forum entitled 'Testing the Neutrality of the KPU', 'The Face of Our Deceleration', and the Back and Forth of FPI Permits'. In these videos, RG is more likely to state its languages in the form of sarcasm but honest and very logical, satirical but on target.

All those declared aim to criticize the policies, regulations of the government, including the KPU and the constitutional court. Rocky Gerung's critical language is always in accordance with the text and context that is being

discussed and is being hotly discussed and becomes a topic raised by Karni Ilyas as the president of the ILC.

The message to be conveyed is that the government needs to be wise and fair to see and respond to every conflict that arises from the bottom to the political elite so as to create a comprehensive justice.

CONCLUSIONS

As citizens, it is good for us to be together critical of various policies and regulations made by the government. Smart and constructive criticism so that the government can always consider all policies that will be applied to the people and put the interests of all Indonesian people first. For the next researcher, it is expected that the research that has been done is one of the references to conduct critical studies that can enrich the knowledge of readers and the public. Critical language studies including rocky gerung critical language aired on ILC tvOne can also be done on the critical language of elites or experts whose opinions prioritize the interests of the people not the interests of parties or groups. For the public, at least the ILC show on tvOne is a reference to enrich national knowledge and insights. The public can assess the opinions of the elite through the ILC show on tvOne and digest every opinion or expert view so that it can be a matter of thought about the fate of the Indonesian nation in the future.

REFERENCES

Hendrikus, Dori Wuwur. (2015) Retorika Terampil Berpidato, Berdiskusi, Berargumentasi, Bernegosiasi. Kanisus: Sleman

Rosidi, Imron. (2013) Menulis Siapa... Takut?. Yogyakarta: Kansinus.

Sobur, Alex. (2012) Analisis teks Media.

Sugihastuti. (2012) Bahasa Laporan Penelitian. Yogyakarta; Pustaka Pelajar.

Supriyono. (2019). *Prisip-prinsip dasar kesantunan berbahasa dalam ujian skripsi.* Tangerang: Lembaga Literasi Dayak (LLD).

Surastina. (2019). *Pengantar Semantik & Pragmatik*. Yogyakarta: Elmatera Publishing

Surastina. (2018). *Pengkajian Wacana: Sebuah Pengantar.* Yogyakarta: Elmatera Publishing.

Tarigan, Henry Guntur. (2008). *Menulis Sebagai Suatu Keterampilan Berbahasa*. Bandung: Angkasa.

Wicaksono, A. (2017). CRITICAL DISCOURSE ANALYSIS OF SAMPOERNA MILD CIGARETTE ADVERTISING 'TANYA KENAPA' EDITIONS. *Pedagogy : Journal Of English Language Teaching*, 3(2), 71-77. Retrieved from https://e-

journal.metrouniv.ac.id/index.php/pedagogy/article/view/593